PATENT COOPERATION TR

PCT10/531783

RECEIVEL 2003 -12- 16

U-A PD

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PU02103-PCT		Transmittal of International Search Report
International application No.	International filing date (day month year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day month year)
PCT/SE 03/01434	12 Sept 2003	31 October 2002
Applicant		
Amersham Biosciences AB e	t al	····
applicant according to Article 18. A	been prepared by this International Searchi copy is being transmitted to the Internation	ng Authority and is transmitted to the al Bureau.
This international search report cons		
X It is also accompanied b	y a copy of each prior art document cited i	n this report.
1. Basis of the report		
a. With regard to the language, the in the language in which it was	he international search was carried out on s s filed, unless otherwise indicated under this	the basis of the international application sitem.
the international search to this Authority (Rule 2	was carried out on the basis of a translation 3.1(b)).	of the international application furnished
 b. With regard to any nucleotide international search was carried 	and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the doubt on the basis of the sequence listing:	international application, the
contained in the internati	onal application in written form.	and the state of t
filed together with the int	ernational application in computer readabl	e form.
furnished subsequently to	this Authority in written form.	
furnished subsequently to	this Authority in computer readable form	
the statement that the sul	osequently furnished written sequence listing ion as filed has been furnished.	
the statement that the inf listing has been furnished	ormation recorded in computer readable fo	orm is identical to the written sequence
2. X Certain claims were found	d unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is lack	ing (See Box II).	
4. With regard to the title,		2 () () () () () () () () () (
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
X the text has been establish	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
Use of urea varia	nts as affinity ligands	and the second s
· ·	~	e in the state of
5. With regard to the abstract,		
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establish	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Aut	hority as it appears in Box III. The ernational search report, submit
	sublished with the abstract is Figure No	
as suggested by the applic		None of the figures.
because the applicant fail	*	
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.	in the second

Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This inte	rnational search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1.	Claims Nos.: 20 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
	see next sheet*
2.	Claims Nos.: 13 and 14 because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
	see next sheet**
3.	Claims Nos.:
]	because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Inte	rnational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Dom!-	on Protect
wemark	on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.
	To process accompanies are payment of auditional scales loss.

*

Claim 20 relates to a method for isolating human IgG of K-type from other components in a liquid. In view of the large number of urea compounds found and also the lack of clarity of claim 20 regarding the steps of the method, which render it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, the present application fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Article 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.1(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful search on the basis of the claim is impossible.

Consequently, no search has been carried out for the claimed

* *

Present claims 13-14 relate to a compound defined by:

P1: Binding constant (10e-3M) or,

method in claim 20.

P2: Structure coordinates of a binding pocket.

The use of these parameters in the present context is considered to lead to a lack of clarity within the meaning of Article 6 PCT. It is impossible to compare the parameters the applicant has chosen to employ with what is set out in the prior art. The lack of clarity is such as to render a meaningful complete search impossible. Consequently, the search has been restricted to: The parts relating to the compounds given in Formula I relating urea-like compounds.

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: CO7C 275/00, CO7D 213/81, A61P 37/00, CO7D 233/34, A61K 31/17, C12Q,1/58, G01N 33/62 // C08G 71/02, A01N 47/28, C07K 16/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: C07C, C07D, A61K, C12Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

MEDLINE, STN, CAPLUS, EMBASE, PAJ, EPODOC, WPI

C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Р,Х	J. Med. Chem., Volume 46, 2003, Nathan R. McElroy et al: 2QSAR and Classification of Murine and Human Soluble Epoxide Hydrolase Inhibition by Urea-Like Compounds", page 1066 - page 1080, table I, II	1-14
X	J. Microcolumn Separations, Volume 12, no. 5, 2000, Eric Schoenzetter et al: "Rapid Sample Handling in Microcolumn-Liquid Chromatography Using Selective On-Line Immunoaffinity Extraction", page 316 - page 322, figure 1, table 1	1-14,16-19, 21

X rurner documents are listed in the cont	see patent ranny annex.
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which to be of particular relevance	not considered "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or aft filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority clair cited to establish the publication date of another cit special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhib means "P" document published prior to the international filing the priority date claimed	or which is step when the document is taken alone or other "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
Date of the actual completion of the internati	al search Date of mailing of the international search report 1 5 -12- 2003
9 December 2003	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer
Swedish Patent Office	
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Fernando Farieta/EÖ
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86	Telephone No. + 46 8 782 25 00

C (Continu	nation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	Journal of Chromatography A, Volume 732, 1996, James F. Lawrence et al: "Use of immunoaffinity chromatography as a simplified cleanup technique for the liquid chromatographic determination of phenylurea herbicides in plant material", page 277 - page 281, figure 1	1-14,16-19, 21
*		
X	J. Agric. Food Chem., Volume 42, 1994, Peter Schneider et al: "A Highly Sensitive and Rapid ELISA for the Arylurea Herbicides Diuron, Monuron, and Linuron", page 413 - page 422, figure 1, compounds 6-10	1-14
A		15-19,21
` X	WO 9727752 A1 (MERCK & CO., INC.), 7 August 1997 (07.08.97), formula I	1-14
x	STN International, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 1978:165488, Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd. et al: "Prevention of crop plant damage cased by N-substituted phenylcarbamoylamino acid herbicides"; & JP,A2,52154523, 19771222, formula I	1-14
X	EP 0743067 A2 (TORAY INDUSTRIES, INC.), 20 November 1996 (20.11.96), claims 1-36, formula I	1-19,21
. Y	WO 02076930 A2 (TELIK, INC.), 3 October 2002 (03.10.02), claim 22	1-19,21
	NO 0000000 A1 (DOCUDINOCE INCELLICIA	1 10 21
Y -	WO 02083628 A1 (BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.), 24 October 2002 (24.10.02), formula I	1-19,21
		

ernational application No.

PCT/SE 03/01434

		
ategory*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passage	s Relevant to claim No
A ·	Amalytica Chimica Acta, Volume 399, 1999, Petra M. Krämer et al: "Flow injection immunoaffinity analysis (FIIAA) - A screening technology for atrazine and diuron in water samples", page 89 - page 97, Diuron	1-19,21
·	 -	
A	Journal of Immunological Methods, Volume 196, 1996, G.A. Bonwick et al: "Production of murine monoclonal antibodies against sulcofuron and flucofuron by in vitro immunisation", page 163 - page 173, figures 1, 5	1-19,21
. (
A ·	US 2002193633 A1 (ZIXIA FENG ET AL), 19 December 2002 (19.12.02), scheme 1, claims 1-1	1-19,21
A •	EP 0327365 A2 (HYBRITECH INCORPORATED), 9 August 1989 (09.08.89), claims 1-32	1-19,21
	·	
	·	
		•
		·
	·	
		,
•		·
	· ·	;
· ·		
		,
	,	·
	A/210 (continuation of second sheet) (July 1998)	

INTER IONAL SEARCH REPORT Information on patent family members

ernational application No.

31/10/03

PCT/SE 03/01434

	atent document I in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO	9727752	A1	07/08/97	AU	712504 E	 }	11/11/99
				AU	1851697 <i>J</i>	4	· 22/08/9 7
				CA	2243507 A	4	07/08/97
				EP	0880320 /	4	02/12/98
				GB	9605699 [)	00/00/00
			·	US	6028201 /	٩	22/02/00
EP	0743067	·A2	20/11/96	SE	0743067	гз	
				AU	699336 E	3	03/12/98
	•		•	AU	5226696 A	4	28/11/96
				CA	2176671 /	A	17/11/96
				CN	1157817 /	4	27/08/97
				DE	69624975 [17/07/03
•	•			ES	2187618		16/06/03
				JP	9235263	A	09/09/97
				ÜS	5928633 /		27/07/99
				US	6190688 E		20/02/01
WO	02076930	A2	03/10/02	CA	2434020 /	 4	03/10/02
				US	6525091 E	3	25/02/03
			•	US	2002188027		12/12/02
WO	02083628	A1	24/10/02	US	2003083333 /	4	01/05/03
us Us	2002193633	A1	19/12/02	US	6500864 E	 3	31/12/02
				US	6492552 E	3.	10/12/02
				US	6506802 E	3	14/01/03
				US	2002058709	A	16/05/02
				US	2002193634	4	19/12/02
				AU	5567501 /	4	03/12/01
				CA	2407964	Α.	29/11/01
				CN	1429209	-	09/07/03
				EP	1282599	4	12/02/03
				WO	0190060 /	4	29/11/01
EP	0327365	A2	09/08/89	SE	0327365		
				ΑT	107276		15/07/94
				UA	621585 E		19/03/92
				AU	2956389	4	03/08/89
				DE	68916052 I),T	10/11/94
				DK	47389	A T	04/08/89
-	•			ES	2058492	Ī	01/11/94
				JP .	2001434	4	05/01/90

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.